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#### Abstract

A series of 7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene complexes are prepared from $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{L}\right)\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{L}=$ pyrrole, 1 -methylpyrrole, 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole, 1,2,5-trimethylpyrrole, or 1 -(trimethylsilyl)pyrrole) and various dipolarophiles (e.g., acrylonitrile, methyl acrylate, $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone, dimethyl maleate, dimethyl fumarate, $N$-phenyl maleimide, cyclopentene-1,2-dicarboxylic acid anhydride, and ( $E$ )- and ( $Z$ )- methyl 3-(3'-pyridyl)acrylate). The cycloaddition is promoted by coordination of the pyrrole with $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}$ across C 3 and C 4 , transforming the uncoordinated portion of the pyrrole nucleus into an azomethine ylide capable of undergoing 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions. The metal serves not only to activate the pyrrole ring but also to stabilize the resulting 7 -azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptene ligands. A number of organic 7 -azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes, including analogs of the alkaloid epibatidine, have been synthesized by this methodology. For the cases examined, the cycloaddition favors exo stereochemistry of the electronwithdrawing substituent when the pyrrole nitrogen is unsubstituted. Crystal structures have been determined for the complexes obtained from the reactions of pyrrole with $N$-phenylmaleimide (8a), 2,5-dimethylpyrrole with dimethyl maleate (13a), and 2,5-dimethylpyrrole with $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone (22a).


## Introduction

Until recently, the 7 -azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (7-azanorbornane) ring structure was thought not to exist in nature. ${ }^{2}$ However, the report of a new alkaloid, epibatidine (exo-2-[6'-chloro-3'-pyridyl]-7-azanorbornane), found in trace amounts from skin extracts of an Ecuadoran poison frog, Epipedobates tricolor, has generated considerable interest in the 7-azanorbornane nucleus. ${ }^{3}$ Epibatidine is reported to be a highly potent, non-opioid analgesic and nicotinic acetylcholine receptor agonist. ${ }^{4}$


Epibatidine

[^0]Most conventional approaches to the synthesis of 7-azanorbornanes include either (1) intramolecular nucleophilic ring closure of aminocyclohexane derivatives ${ }^{5.6}$ or (2) Diels-Alder cycloaddition of pyrroles with reactive, electron-deficient alkynes followed by hydrogenation of the resulting 7 -azanorbornadienes. ${ }^{2,7.8}$ A retrosynthetic analysis of epibatidine suggests the substituted ring system could be generated efficiently in a single step via a Diels-Alder cycloaddition of a pyrrole with a substituted olefin. However, it is known that cycloaddition reactions of pyrroles with alkenes are limited by the inherent thermodynamic instability of the cycloadducts (with respect to either retrocycloaddition or retro-Mannich reactions and rearomatization) under typical reaction conditions. ${ }^{9,10}$ High pressures have been used to promote this reaction, but even in these cases the scope appears to be limited to N -acylated pyrroles

[^1]

Figure 1. 1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition of the $\eta^{2}$-pyrrole complex with maleic anhydride, showing numbering schemes for pyrrole and cycloadduct complexes. ${ }^{13 a, 14}$
and highly reactive dienophiles such as N -alkylmaleimides (acrylates and maleates do not react). ${ }^{11,12}$

Complexation of pyrrole by the $\pi$ base pentaammineosmium(II) across C3 and C4 has been shown to transform this aromatic molecule into an azomethine ylide, thereby dramatically enhancing its tendency to undergo 1,3 -dipolar cycloaddition with maleic anhydride (Figure 1). ${ }^{133}$ In contrast to the 7 -azanorbornene ligand, which undergoes cycloreversion at ambient pressures, the resulting cycloadduct is greatly stabilized by metal coordination, allowing synthetic transformations while keeping the bicyclic framework intact. The purpose of the present study is to develop the scope of this novel cycloaddition reaction and to demonstrate its potential synthetic utility. ${ }^{13}$

## Results

Synthesis of Cycloadduct Complexes. The pyrrole complexes $(\mathbf{1}-7)$ are synthesized in good yield by reducing Os$\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ with activated $\mathrm{Mg}^{0}$ in the presence of the pyrrole ligand in a DME/DMAc solution as reported previously (Table 1). ${ }^{13 c}$ The pyrrole complexes will undergo substitution with acetonitrile slowly at room temperature ( $t_{1 / 2}=$ hours $)$ but rapidly at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(t_{1 / 2}=\right.$ minutes $)$ to afford $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right]$ $(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (Table 1).

In order to establish the generality of the cycloaddition reaction, we examined this reactivity both as a function of alkyl substitution on the pyrrole ligand and of the degree of activation of the dipolarophile. The reactions of a series of alkylsubstituted pyrrole complexes with various dipolarophiles are summarized in Table 2.

The following synthesis of the cycloadduct complex 8 is typical of those described in Table 2: When an acetonitrile

[^2]Table 1. Yields and Substitution Half-Lives for the Pyrrole complexes 1-7

|  | compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | R5 | yld, \% | $\begin{gathered} t_{1 / /^{a}} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | H | H | H | H | 85 | 227 |
|  | 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | H | 95 | 153 |
|  | 3 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 95 | 14 |
|  | 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 94 | 3 |
|  | 5 | TMS | H | H | H | 80 | 300 |
|  | 6 | H | Et | H | H | 83 | b |
|  | 7 | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 92 | 220 |

${ }^{a}$ The complexes were heated in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Compound 6 gradually decomposed to an intractable mixture; no conversion to $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{NH} 3)_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ was observed.
solution of $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-pyrrole $\left.)\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (1) and 1 equiv of $N$-phenylmaleimide is allowed to stand for 2 h and then added to ether or methylene chloride, a mixture of $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ precipitates as an ivory-colored solid. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the exo-cycloadduct $8 \mathbf{a}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ has three sharp singlets at $3.21(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(2 \mathrm{H})$, and $4.09(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to the ring protons and ammine resonances at $3.23(12 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.04(3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. In contrast, the pyrrole complex 1 has broadened ring proton resonances characteristic of fluxional behavior, and the ammine resonances appear at $2.73(12 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.85(3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ The progress of the reaction may be monitored by either ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR or cyclic voltammetry. While 1 has an irreversible oxidation wave at $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.17 \mathrm{~V}$ (NHE), the product 8a exhibits a pseudoreversible couple at $E_{1 / 2}=0.82 \mathrm{~V}$. In some cycloadditions, one of the isomers (e.g. $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{1 0 b}, \mathbf{1 3 a}$, and 23a) selectively crystallizes from the reaction mixture. In favorable cases (e.g., complexes 18 and 21) the cycloadducts may be prepared in a one-pot reaction sequence from the pyrrole by addition of the appropriate dipolarophile to the reaction immediately following complexation. This procedure sometimes affords the cycloadducts as their DMAc solvates.

Stereochemistry of the Cycloaddition. For compounds 8 , 9, and 12, assignment of the stereochemistry is based on the magnitude of $J_{1-2}$ or $J_{3-4}$ coupling. For example, 8 a is assigned exo stereochemistry based on the absence of coupling between the bridgehead and endo ring protons. ${ }^{15}$ This stereochemistry was confirmed by X-ray crystallography; the ORTEP of the cation in 8a is shown in Figure 2. The stereochemistry of the

[^3]Table 2. Cycloadduct Complexes 8-23

${ }^{a}$ The ratios of isomers were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integrations. ${ }^{b}$ Yields are in percent and are reported for the crude mixtures of diastereomers. ${ }^{c}$ The endo isomer was not detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
cycloadducts bearing bridgehead methyl groups is determined by NOE data. The exo cycloadducts have strong interactions between endo ring protons and the endo cycloadducts bearing $N$-methyl groups have interactions between the latter and exo ring protons (Figure 3).

The stereochemistry suggested by the NOE studies is also confirmed by crystal structures in the case of compounds 13a and 22a (Figures 4 and 5, respectively). Compounds bearing protons in a syn relationship on C2 and C3 (e.g., 14, 18, and 19) have diagnostic vicinal coupling constants of $<10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for $J_{\mathrm{H} 2 \text { endo }-\mathrm{H} 3 \text { endo }}$ and $>10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for $J_{\mathrm{H} 2 \text { exo- } \mathrm{H} 3 \text { exo }}$ similar to those observed for the 7 -azanorbornanes (vide infra).
The Effect of Pyrrole Ring Alkyl Substitution on the Reaction Rates. Solutions of the pyrrole complexes ( 0.06 M in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) and a tenfold excess of N -phenylmaleimide were monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at ambient temperature, and from this data the second order rate constant, $k$, was calculated by assuming pseudo-first order conditions. The rate constant of
cycloaddition $(k)$ is directly related to the rate constant of tautomerization $\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{13 \mathrm{c}}$ for several complexes (Table 3).

The pyrrole complexes $1-5$ react with most dipolarophiles in acetonitrile solution at room temperature over a period of minutes to hours. While $N$-phenylmaleimide ( 1 equiv) reacts quickly ( $<1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) at relatively low concentrations ( $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) in acetonitrile with the pyrrole complexes 1-4, the less reactive dipolarophiles (those having only one electron-withdrawing group) must be used in higher concentrations in order for cycloaddition to compete with solvent substitution. As a general rule, while the 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole (3) and 1,2,5-trimethylpyrrole (4) complexes react rapidly at room temperature with most unhindered dipolarophiles bearing one electron-withdrawing group ( $0.1-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ complex, 3-40 equiv dipolarophile), the pyrrole (1) and 1 -trimethylsilylpyrrole (5) complexes only react with dipolarophiles bearing two electron-withdrawing groups. Compounds 6 and 7, bearing only a C2 or a C3 substituent, respectively, are completely inert toward typical dipolarophiles.


Figure 2. Structure of the cycloadduct complex 8a from pyrrole and $N$-phenylmaleimide.
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10b

Figure 3. NOE enhancement data for cycloadduct complexes 10a and 10b.


Figure 4. Structure of the cycloadduct 13a from 2,5-dimethylpyrrole and dimethyl maleate.

When the cycloadditions are very slow, the pyrrole complexes either form intractable paramagnetic compounds or undergo substitution of the solvent for the pyrrole ligand.

Solvent Effects. The influence of the solvent on the halflives of cycloaddition was explored in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 5}$ from the parent pyrrole complex (1) and dimethyl fumarate by monitoring the reaction using cyclic voltammetry. Further, the influence of solvent on the stereochemistry of cycloaddition was explored for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 8}$ from the 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex (3) and methyl acrylate. The reaction was observed by ' H NMR in a number of deuterated solvents (acetonitrile,
acetone, DMSO, DMF, water, and methanol), and in other cases (entries $1,3,7,8$, and 10 in Table 5) the product was isolated ( $85-95 \%$ yield) and characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The results, summarized in Tables 4 and 5, indicate that cycloadditions are fastest in polar aprotic solvents with high donor numbers such as DMAc or DMSO ${ }^{16}$ and that the exo/endo selectivity is moderately sensitive to solvent polarity. ${ }^{17}$

In cases where the cycloaddition is slow, good results are obtained using an appropriate solvent (Table 4) and an excess of the dipolarophile. For example, in the synthesis of compound 19, when the 1-methylpyrrole complex (2) is treated with 15-


Figure 5. Structure of the cycloadduct 22a from 2,5-dimethylpyrrole and $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone.

Table 3. Correlation between rate of Cycloaddition and Ring Slippage ${ }^{13 \mathrm{c}}$ for the Reactions of $\eta^{2}$-Pyrrole Complexes with $N$-Phenylmaleimide

| pyrrole complex | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ <br> $\times 10^{-3}$ | fluxionality rate <br> constant $\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1-(trimethylsilyl)pyrrole (5) | 2.2 | $k^{\prime}<250$ |
| pyrrole (1) | 110 | $k^{\prime}<70$ |
| 1-methylpyrrole (2) | 1200 | $80<k^{\prime}<175$ |
| 2,5-dimethylpyrrole (3) | $>2000$ | $300<k^{\prime}$ |

20 equiv of acrylonitrile containing $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{DMAc}$ (to solubilize the complex), the reaction was complete in $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction between the 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex (3) (1 M in DMAc) and methyl (Z)-3-(3'-pyridyl)acrylate (3 equiv), one of the least reactive dipolarophiles studied, was complete in $\sim 18 \mathrm{~h}$ affording compound 14 . The cycloadditions are catalyzed by lithium triflate, which affords a practical maximum rate enhancement of one order of magnitude. However, the use of stronger Lewis acids such as $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ results in other reactions such as $\beta$-electrophilic addition and/or ring opening, discussed below. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{e}, 18}$

Competing $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Michael Additions and Ring-Opening Reactions. In contrast to other dipolarophiles, the reaction of MVK with compound 3 in acetonitrile affords a mixture of cycloadduct 24 and 3 H -pyrrole tautomer 25, resulting from a Michael addition at $\beta$-position of the pyrrole ring. Over time, compound 24 undergoes ring-opening to the $2 H$-pyrrole tautomer, 26. A similar result is observed when water is used as the solvent in the reaction of 3 with methyl acrylate.
(16) The donor numbr ( $D N$ ) is defined the negative $\Delta H$ value for the solvation of $\mathrm{SbCl}_{5}$ by a donating solvent in 1,2 -dichloroethane solution: Gutman, V. The Donor-Acceptor Approach to Molecular Interactions; Plenum Press: New York and London, 1978, Chapter 2.
(17) The normalized empirical solvent polarity parameter, $E_{\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{N}}}$, used in Table 5 is based on the long-wave UV/vis charge-transfer band of a pyridinium- $N$-phenoxide betaine dye (Reichardt, C. In Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry; VCH: New York, 1988; p 359). The values for entries other than $11\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ are for the corresponding hydrogencontaining solvents (water $=1.000$ ).
(18) An exception to this involved the reaction of 5 with $N$-phenylmaleimide. This cycloaddition was moderately accelerated and afforded a cleaner product using an excess of TMSOTf.

The reactivity of $\mathbf{3}$ toward MVK is sensitive to both solvent and temperature, resulting in enhanced $\beta$-selectivity at low temperatures or in protic solvents. ${ }^{19}$ In the absence of Lewis acids or protic solvents, $\beta$-Michael additions are observed only for MVK, acrolein, and acetylenic electrophiles. ${ }^{20}$ In the presence of the Lewis acid TBSOTf, however, $\beta$-electrophilic addition is the dominant reaction for most dipolarophiles, resulting in the formation of 3 H -pyrrolium species analogous to the protonated form of 25 in the case of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}=\mathrm{CN}$ or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{19}$


The spontaneous ring-opening observed in acetonitrile in the transformation of 24 to $\mathbf{2 6}$ also occurs for other cycloadducts, although at considerably slower rates. In contrast to the MVK adduct 24 , the methyl acrylate-derived analog 18 is resistant to
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Figure 6. Decomplexation of the cycloadduct 8a.

Table 4. Empirical Reaction Half-Lives as a Function of Solvent in the Synthesis of $\mathbf{1 5}$ from $\mathbf{1}(0.10 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{M})$ and Dimethyl Fumarate ( $0.30 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{M}$ )

|  | solvent | $t_{1 / 2(\text { min })}$ | $D N\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)^{16}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | acetonitrile | 185 | 14.1 |
| (2) | acetone | 111 | 17.0 |
| (3) | 2 M LiOTf (DME) | 25 |  |
| (4) | methanol | 22 | 19.0 |
| (5) | DMF | 15 | 26.6 |
| (6) | DMAc | 9 | 27.8 |
| (7) | NMF | 6 |  |
| (8) | DMSO | 5 | 29.8 |
| (9) | DMPU | 5 |  |
| (10) | 5 M LiOTf (DME) | 5 |  |

ring opening in either its basic or protonated form. ${ }^{21}$ However, treatment of the cycloadduct complexes with 1 equiv of TBSOTf followed by water results in their facile ring-opening to 2 H pyrrolium complexes (e.g., $N$-protonated 28). ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}}$ Although cycloadducts other than 24 are relatively stable in polar aprotic solvents such as acetonitrile or DMAc, some undergo facile ring opening to 2 H -pyrroles in water. Upon attempted purification by ion-exchange chromatography (Sephadex CM C-25, 0.35 M NaCl ), compounds 12,18 , and the exo-pyridyl cycloadduct 14a convert to the $2 H$-pyrrole complexes 27,28 , and 29 , respectively. ${ }^{22}$ In contrast, both isomers of the acrylonitrile adduct 19 and the endo-pyridyl isomer 17a are stable under these conditions and can be stored as tetraphenylborate monohydrate salts.
(19) Both the cycloaddition and $\beta$-Michael addition reactions proceed in methanol at a rate that is $\sim 10$ fold faster than that observed in acetonitrile. A more detailed study of the solvent dependence of this reaction is described in ref 13 e .
(20) Acetylenic dienophiles react with pyrrole and $N$-methylpyrrole complexes, affording [ $2+2$ ] cycloadducts in DMSO. These compounds undergo subsequent ring-opening to 3 -vinylpyrrole complexes in protic solvents (ref 13e).
(21) Under forcing conditions (HOTf in acetonitrile; 3 h at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) the retro-Mannich reaction takes place, but the yield of ring-opened material is low due to the competing formation of paramagnetic products.
(22) A number of complexes undergo decomposition to intractable mixtures under these conditions.

The maleate cycloadduct 13a undergoes a quantitative isomerization to the fumarate complex 16 that is complete in $<5 \mathrm{~min}$ in water and in several days in methanol. This exo to endo epimerization, which is observed only for 13a, does not incorporate deuterium when it takes place in deuterated solvents.
Decomplexation of Organic Ligands: General Synthesis of 7-Azanorbornanes. Treatment of the cycloadduct complex 8a with CAN (1 equiv) results in the recovery of pyrrole and $N$-phenylmaleimide. However, when a solution of $8 \mathbf{a}$ is treated with excess of triflic acid (3 equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ followed by CAN ( 1 equiv) or DDQ ( 0.5 equiv), the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum reveals the formation of the protonated 7 -azanorbornene (30a), liberated upon oxidation of the metal (Figure 6). ${ }^{23}$ The diagnostic olefinic ring protons of the free ligand appear at $\sim 6.5 \mathrm{ppm}$, considerably downfield from those of the corresponding complex resonances at $\sim 3.1 \mathrm{ppm}$. This decomplexation reaction is general for most cycloadduct complexes when acetonitrile is used as the solvent under highly acidic conditions ( $\sim 3$ equiv triflic acid). Intractable mixtures, cycloreversion, or low yields result when methanol is used as the solvent. When DDQ is used as the oxidant, the major products of the reaction other than 30a included the osmium(III) acetonitrile complex and the hydroquinone from DDQ. ${ }^{24}$ When base is added to the reaction mixture containing the 7 -azanorbornene 30 a , a fast (complete in $<5 \mathrm{~min}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) cycloreversion occurs, forming pyrrole and N -phenylmaleimide. A similar result is obtained for the 2,5-dimethylpyrrole/methyl acrylate cycloadduct 18.

Although the 7 -azanorbornene salts such as $\mathbf{3 0 a}$ are unstable and difficult to isolate, they are readily hydrogenated to stable 7 -azanorbornanes while preserving the stereochemistry at C 2 and C3. Thus, when the reaction mixture containing 30a is

[^4]Table 5. Stereochemistry as a Function of Solvent for the Synthesis of 18 from 3 and Methyl Acrylate ${ }^{a}$

|  | solvent | exo/endo ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $E_{\text {T }}{ }^{\text {N } 17}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | acetone- $d_{6}$ | 92:8 | 0.355 |
| (2) | 1:1:1 DMAc/2,5-DMP/methyl acrylate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 92:8 |  |
| (3) | methyl acrylate (neat) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 92:8 | 0.426 |
| (4) | DMF- $d_{7}$ | 91:9 | 0.404 |
| (5) | DMSO- $d_{6}$ | 91:9 | 0.444 |
| (6) | acetonitrile- $d_{3}$ | 90:10 | 0.460 |
| (7) | HFIPA/methyl acrylate | 90:10 |  |
| (8) | $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOTf/DME}$ | 87:13 |  |
| (9) | methanol- $d_{4}$ | 84:16 | 0.762 |
| (10) | formamide | 82:18 | 0.799 |
| (11) | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{e}$ | 67:33 | 0.991 |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions for entries other than 3: 0.1 M complex and 4 equiv methyl acrylate at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ${ }^{b}$ Based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integration of bridgehead methyl resonances. ${ }^{c}$ Methyl acrylate was added to the reaction mixture following the synthesis of $3 .{ }^{d}$ The reaction was heterogeneous. ${ }^{e}$ Other product were formed using this solvent (discussed in text under competing reactions).
subjected to $1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, reduction to compound 31a is complete in 1 h (Figure 6). ${ }^{25}$ The reaction sequence of protonation, oxidation, and hydrogenation has been applied to the synthesis of a number of organic 7-azanorbornanes (Table 6). No significant change in the ratio of diastereomers is observed in the transformation of the cycloadduct complexes to the organic products.

In an alternative approach, chemical modification of the electron-withdrawing substituents on the ring allows isolation of 7 -azanorbornenes. For example, the carboxylate group on 18 may be reduced to the primary alcohol with 2 equiv of lithium 9-BBNH in THF. When an acetonitrile solution of the reduced complex 39 is treated with an excess of HOTf ( $\sim 5$ equiv) followed by 1 equiv of CAN, the intact organic ligand 40 is obtained after an aqueous/organic workup. ${ }^{13 d, 26}$


40
Characterization of 7-Azanorbornanes. The stereochemistry of these compounds is easily determined by the magnitude of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling constants, as illustrated in the case of compounds $\mathbf{3 3}{ }^{27}$ and 35 (Figure 7). The coupling constants of $\sim 0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{\text {bridgehead }}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {endo }}$ and $\sim 3.5-5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{\text {bridgehead }}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{\text {exo }}$ are diagnostic for exo and endo substitution, respectively. ${ }^{28}$ Likewise, the $\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{H} 3$ vicinal coupling constants observed in compounds 34-37 are smaller in exo isomers ( $6<^{3} J_{\text {Hendo. Hendo }}$
(25) Exposure of 31a to long reaction times (18-24 h) in acetonitrile resulted in the unexpected formation of the $N$-ethyl derivative, 32 , that was not formed when methanol was used as the hydrogenation solvent. Presumably, 32 is formed via acylation of the bridging nitrogen by acetonitrilium triflate (protonated acetonitrile), followed by reduction of the intermediate.
(26) Failure to treat the complex with acid prior to oxidation resulted in a greatly reduced yield, presumably as a result of olefin-to-amine linkage isomerization on osmium(III), a reaction that has been reported for osmium-(III)-aniline complexes: Harman, W. D.; Taube, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 5403.
(27) This compound has been synthesized by an independent route: (Rohloff, J. C., Ph.D. Dissertation, UCLA, 1985, and ref 10). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3 3}$ matched perfectly with those reported by Rohloff and Jung, with the exception of the long range coupling between $\mathrm{H} 3 \beta$ and $\mathrm{H} 5 \beta$ which was not reported by these authors.
(28) This has also been observed in related systems: see ref $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{p} .95$.
$<10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) than in endo isomers $\left(10<{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{Hexo}, \mathrm{Hexo}}<12 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{29}$ In addition, the presence of long-range W -coupling between exo ring protons is useful in cases where the bridgehead positions are substituted (e.g., compounds 37 and 38 ). ${ }^{30}$ In order to assign H 2 and H 3 in compound 38 , the carboxylate group was reduced with LAH to afford the corresponding exo-hydroxymethyl compound 41 ( H 3 changed in chemical shift and multiplicity). ${ }^{31}$

## Discussion

The aromatic character of pyrrole severely limits its reactivity toward cycloaddition reactions. While cyclopentadiene or furan undergo facile Diels-Alder cycloadditions with maleic anhydride under ambient conditions, pyrrole reacts only with extensive heating, and Michael addition at C2, rather than cycloaddition, dominates. ${ }^{32}$ Strategies used to improve the facility and yield of pyrrole cycloadditions include the use of electron-withdrawing groups on nitrogen in combination with either Lewis acids or high pressures, but most examples are limited to either highly reactive or acetylenic dienophiles. ${ }^{2 a \cdot 7,11,33}$

A transition metal bound across C3 and C4 activates the pyrrole ring by a mechanism complementary to that in which electron-withdrawing groups on nitrogen are employed. In the latter approach, interaction of an electrophilic substituent with the nitrogen $\pi$-electrons disrupts the aromaticity of the ring, thereby enhancing the dienelike nature of the pyrrole. Metal coordination at C 3 and C 4 also localizes the $\pi$-electron density of the ring, but here the uncoordinated portion of the ring resembles an azomethine ylide as shown in Figure 8. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ Although the osmium(II) moiety used in this study is cationic, the presence of ammine ligands and the strong $\pi$-interaction with the pyrrole appears to render the metal overall electrondonating. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~b}}$

It has been demonstrated that donating substituents on azomethine ylides enhance reactivity toward electron deficient dipolarophiles, presumably by raising the energy of the HOMO for the 1,3-dipole. ${ }^{34}$ Since the pentaammineosmium moiety acts as an electron donor, the reactivity of the 1,3-dipole fragment in Figure 8 should correlate with electron density at the metal center. Solvents that are good electron-pair donors should increase electron density on the metal center via a hydrogenbonding interaction with the ammine ligands, which may explain the inverse correlation of cycloaddition half-lives with solvent donor number (Table 4). ${ }^{35}$

Although it is the $3,4-\eta^{2}$ tautomer of the pyrrole complex that is active toward cycloaddition, the dominant tautomer in solution for these species is one in which the metal occupies the 4,5 - or the $2,3-\eta^{2}$ position, even in cases where the $\alpha$ carbons

[^5]Table 6. Synthesis of 7-Azanorbornanes from the Corresponding Cycloadduct Complexes
(endon
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Compounds 31a and $\mathbf{3 1 b}$ were prepared from crude $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$, respectively. Compounds $\mathbf{3 7 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ were prepared from crude $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 a}$, respectively, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This represents the isolated yield of both isomers, either as a mixture or separated, following chromatography. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The low overall yield of compounds derived from 1 is due, in part, to the formation of paramagnetic impurity in the synthesis of 1 .


33
$J_{1,2 \alpha} \sim 0 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{2 \alpha, 3 \beta}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{2 \alpha, 3 \alpha}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{2 \alpha, 3 \beta}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{3 \beta, 4}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{3 \alpha, 3 \beta}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$


35b
$J_{1,2 \beta}=J_{3 \beta, 4}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{2 \beta, 3 \beta}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{2 \beta, 3 \alpha}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{2 \beta, 6 \beta}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$J_{3 \alpha, 3 \beta}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$

Figure 7. Diagnostic $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling constants in 7-azanorbornanes.


Figure 8. Localization of pyrrole $\pi$ electrons by electron withdrawing groups on nitrogen (left) and by $3,4-\eta^{2}$ metal coordination (right).
are substituted. ${ }^{13 b}$ Methyl substituents either on C 1 or on C 2 and $C 5$ of the pyrrole destabilize the $4,5-\eta^{2}$ tautomer, thereby increasing both the rate of tautomerization and the relative population of the active $3,4-\eta^{2}$ tautomer. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{c}}$ Thus, the rate of
tautomerization, at least for the symmetrical pyrroles investigated, correlates with the rate of cycloaddition, provided that the $\alpha$ carbons and the nitrogen are not too hindered (Table 3). For the 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex (3), cycloadducts are obtained in 30 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with dipolarophiles as mild as methyl acrylate (i.e., 18) and as hindered as cyclopentene-1,2dicarboxylic anhydride. In the latter reaction, four quaternary centers are formed in a single step (i.e., 23). By contrast, the substituents on the unsymmetrically substituted pyrrole complexes 6 and 7 destabilize the $3,4-\eta^{2}$ tautomer relative to the $4,5 \cdot \eta^{2}$ form, thus rendering these complexes unreactive toward cycloaddition.

The pentaammineosmium(II) moiety acts as a protecting group for the 7 -azanorbornene nucleus, presumably by reducing
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Figure 9. Influence of dipole-dipole vs steric interactions in cycloaddition transition state.
the rearomatization driving force in the cycloreversion process. In dramatic contrast to the behavior observed for free 7 -azanorbornenes bearing electron withdrawing groups, the osmium(II) complexes reported herein are highly resistant to retrocycloadditions, even upon moderate heating. Compound 18 is stable in acetonitrile solution at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for brief periods $(\sim 1-2$ h) and does not undergo exchange at this temperature with $N$-phenylmaleimide. ${ }^{36}$

In addition, the bridging nitrogen is protected from certain reactions. Although the cycloadduct complexes may be protonated using triflic acid in acetonitrile, attempts to acylate or quaternize the nitrogen result only in ring-opening under forcing conditions. Inspection of the ORTEP diagrams for the pentaammineosmium complexes of the cycloadducts (Figures 2, 4, and 5) reveals that the bridging nitrogen is severely hindered by the cis-ammines on osmium. Further, the N2-N7 distance in 22 is $2.73 \AA$, an observation which suggests a hydrogenbonding interaction. The fluxional behavior ${ }^{37}$ observed for the organic 7 -azanorbornanes 34 and 35 is absent in the corresponding pentaammineosmium complexes, indicating the $N$ methyl substituent in the latter is probably locked in an anti conformation.

Stereochemistry. In Table 2, the exo/endo ratios are listed for a series of pyrrole complexes with the dipolarophile $N$-phenylmaleimide. The pentaammineosmium moiety occupies an exo orientation on all cycloadducts, which indicates that cycloaddition takes place anti to the face of the pyrrole ring coordinated by the metal. The stereochemistry of the cycloaddition appears to be governed by the steric environment about

[^6]the pyrrole nitrogen. For cases where the nitrogen is not substituted, the major product has the electron-withdrawing group in an exo configuration. This stereoselectivity, resulting from an endo transition state, ${ }^{38}$ has been observed for 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions of stabilized azomethine ylides lacking N -substituents. ${ }^{39}$ The endo selectivity may be explained by consideration of the most favorable orientation of the dipole moments for the azomethine ylide and dipolarophile (Figure 9). ${ }^{40}$ Consistent with this notion is the observation that the endo selectivity is decreased with increasing solvent polarity (Table 5) due to a weakening of dipole-dipole interactions between reactants in the more polar solvents. ${ }^{41,42}$

The stereoselectivity and stereospecificity of this cycloaddition process can be utilized in setting the stereochemistry of substituents on the 7 -azanorbornane nucleus. For example, reactions of 3 with the methyl esters of ( $Z$ )- and (E)-3-(3'pyridyl)acrylates afford, after decomplexation, the corresponding
(38) In the Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopentadiene, an endo transition state leads to an endo-substituted product. In the dipolar cycloadditions reported here, an endo transition state leads to an exo-substituted product.
(39) 1,3-Dipoles tautomeric with $\Delta^{1}$ pyrrolines react with $N$-phenylmaleimide with endo-selectivity: (a) Lakhlifi, T.; Sedqui, A.; Fathi, T.; Laude, B.; Robert, J. F. Can. J. Chem. 1994, 72, 1417. (b) Mkairi, A.; Hamelin, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1397.

(40) Dipolar interactions have been used to rationalize the unusual exoselectivity of $\alpha$-methylene lactones and related compounds in the DielsAlder reaction (Roush, W. R.; Brown, B. B. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3380). The high endo-selectivity observed in compound 22 is expected based on these arguments
(41) Similar solvent effects have been observed in other azomethine ylide cycloadditions, see: Grigg, R.; Sridharan, V. Adv. Cycloaddition 1993, 3, 175, and references cited therein.
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Figure 10. Stereoselective synthesis of epibatidine ring system.
exo and endo pyridyl-substituted compounds 37a and 38a, respectively (Figure 10). The stereochemistry of 38a is complementary to that observed in the reaction of a pyrrolidine-derived unstabilized ylide with a related pyridyl acrylate (Figure 10, bottom). ${ }^{43,44}$

When the nitrogen is substituted, a marked decrease in the exo/endo ratio is observed, presumably due to an unfavorable steric interaction with the dipolarophile substituent. This effect is illustrated in the reactions of N -phenylmaleimide, for which the exolendo ratio decreases as the steric profile of the nitrogen substituent increases (i.e., the exo/endo ratio is $6: 1$ for $\mathrm{L}=$ pyrrole (1), 1:6 for L = 1-methylpyrrole (2), and $<1: 20$ for $L$ $=1$-trimethylsilylpyrrole (5); Table 2). ${ }^{45}$ In the case of the very hindered TBS-pyrrole complex, no reaction is observed.

[^7]Presumably, the bulk of the TBS group blocks access to C2 and C5. Since the stereochemistry of the cycloaddition is sensitive to steric effects at the nitrogen substituent, a removable group may be used in the selective synthesis of endo cycloadducts. Thus, the stereochemistry of the cycloaddition may be modulated with the use of a TMS group, which is easily cleaved prior io decomplexation (Figure 11).
There is strong evidence that the exolendo ratios reported above reflect a kinetic rather than thermodynamic preference for the observed stereochemistry. In the cycloaddition of maleic anhydride and $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\eta^{2} \text {-pyrrole }\right)\right]^{2+}$, the exo/endo ratio of reaction products depends on temperature and results in a lower exolendo ratio at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2: 1)$ than at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(4: 1)$. Subsequent warming of the cold reaction mixture from -30 to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ does not alter the $2: 1$ product ratio. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ In a separate experiment, we find that the acrylate-derived cycloadduct 18 fails to undergo conversion to the maleimide analog 10a in the presence of an excess of the more reactive dipolarophile $N$-phenylmaleimide, an observation which indicates the cycloaddition is not reversible under the reaction conditions.

The cycloadduct complexes are susceptible to an acidpromoted retro-Mannich reaction in which the final product is a 2 H -pyrrole species. The vulnerability of the cycloadduct toward ring-opening depends primarily on the stability of the resulting enolate. Most susceptible are those complexes derived from methyl vinyl ketone, for which spontaneous decomposition occurs in solution, even in absence of acid, followed by maleic anhydride, maleimide, esters, or nitriles. This ring-opening reaction is sufficiently slow in acetonitrile that the complexes


Figure 11. Control of stereochemistry for pyrrole/ $N$-phenyl maleimide cycloaddition using a TMS group. ${ }^{46}$
may be protonated prior to decomplexation without appreciable ( $<10 \%$ ) ring-opening. In water however, the isomerization is facile even in the absence of acid for many of the cycloadducts, as illustrated in the formation of compounds 26-29. Treatment of the cycloadduct complexes with 1 equiv of TBSOTf followed by hydrolysis results in facile ring-opening to the 2 H -pyrrolium complexes, useful intermediates in the construction of pyrrolines and the pyrolizidine ring system. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}}$
The isomerization of the maleate adduct 13a to the fumarate adduct 16 in water deserves special comment. The observation that deuterium is not incorporated when the isomerization takes place in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ indicates that epimerization does not occur via a deprotonation/protonation or enolization pathway. Given the mild conditions under which this transformation takes place, it probably occurs through a retro-Mannich/Mannich reaction sequence as shown in Figure 12 (when $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). Presumably, such a sequence occurs for the maleimide and anhydride systems as well, but the cyclic nature of these molecules prevents inversion of stereochemistry.

The Cycloaddition/Michael Addition Manifold. As discussed previously, ${ }^{13 \mathrm{c}}$ the azomethine ylide $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(3,4-\eta^{2}\right.\right.$ pyrrole) $]^{2+}$ is unstable with respect to its $4,5 \cdot \eta^{2}$ tautomer. For the latter, the uncoordinated portion of the heterocycle resembles an enamine, and the expected mode of reaction with an $\alpha, \beta$. unsaturated carbonyl compound would be a Michael addition at C3, the $\beta$ carbon of pyrrole. As described earlier, methyl vinyl ketone combines with the 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex in acetonitrile at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a $1: 1$ mixture of cycloaddition and $\beta$-Michael addition products. By comparison, the $4,5-\eta^{2}$ isomer of the 1-methylpyrrole complex (2) is less hindered than its 2,5 -dimethyl analog (3), and as a consequence, the $4,5-\eta^{2}$ to $3,4-\eta^{2}$ tautomerization process is expected to be more endothermic for 2 than for $\mathbf{3}$, and thus, the equilibrium ratio of 4,5 $\eta^{2}$ to $3,4-\eta^{2}$ isomers is expected to be greater for 2 than for 3. This difference in energy is reflected in the rates of both tautomerization and cycloaddition processes (Table 3). It stands to reason that, neglecting any electronic differences between these pyrroles, the 1 -methylpyrrole complex (2) should show a greater extent of $\beta$-Michael reactivity than its 2,5 -dimethyl analog (3). Indeed, the reaction of 2 with methyl vinyl ketone yields the C 3 alkylation product, exclusively. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{e}}$ A second factor in determining the ratio of $\beta$-addition products to cycloadducts
 $\psi$ 13a, $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 14a, $Z=3$-pyridyl
20a, $Z=H$



16, $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$

26, $Z=H$
29, Z $=3$-pyridyl

Figure 12. The isomerization or ring-opening of cycloadducts in water via a retro-Mannich reaction.
is the stability of the enolate intermediate formed in the $\beta$-Michael reaction. While for methyl vinyl ketone the rate of conjugate addition roughly equals that of cycloaddition, replacement of the methyl ketone functional group by the less electronwithdrawing methyl ester causes the rate of cycloaddition to dominate to such an extent that no conjugate addition is observed. This difference is a direct reflection of the increased stability of the ketone enolate compared to that of the ester. Under conditions where the ester enolate is stabilized by an external Lewis acid, $\beta$-Michael adducts are expected to be
formed more easily. Supporting this claim is the observation that methyl acrylate and the 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex react in the presence of the Lewis acid TBSOTf, to form the $\beta$-Michael adduct (a $3 H$-pyrrole), exclusively. ${ }^{13 e}$ Since cycloadducts may be ring-opened under acidic conditions to afford $2 H$-pyrroles ( $\alpha$-Michael adducts), the $\beta / \alpha$ regiochemistry of this Michael reaction may be conveniently controlled by addition of a Lewis acid at the appropriate stage of the reaction. For example, in the reaction of $\mathbf{3}$ with methyl acrylate, addition of TMSOTf in concert with the dipolarophile affords the $\beta$-Michael adduct, whereas addition of TMSOTf after completion of the cycloaddition affords the $\alpha$-Michael adduct. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{e}}$
Applications to the Synthesis of 7-Azanorbornanes. As summarized in Table 6, various substituted 7 -azanorbornanes may be synthesized from pyrroles by the sequence of complex-ation-cycloaddition-decomplexation with typical overall yields of $40-60 \%$ for the process. In contrast to the conventional Diels-Alder reaction, requiring reactive acetylenic dienophiles, the $[2+3]$ dipolar cycloadditions described herein allow the use of simple alkenes (i.e., bearing one electron-withdrawing group). Use of an alkene allows the construction of one or more stereocenters in the ring-forming step, and this method proves useful in the stereoselective synthesis of substituted 7-azanorbornanes.

In addition, the steps of complex formation, cycloaddition, decomplexation, and hydrogenation may be combined into two one-pot transformations from the commercially-available pyrroles. Although most of the work described in this paper was performed in a glovebox out of convenience (the starting pyrrole complexes 1-7 are air sensitive), the synthesis of compound 35 (from $\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ and 1-methylpyrrole) has been routinely performed on the benchtop on a 10 mmol scale taking no special precautions other than maintaining the reaction under nitrogen during the magnesium reduction stage. ${ }^{47}$ The ester 34 and nitrile 35 , obtained in $55-65 \%$ overall yield from N -methylpyrrole by this procedure, are useful intermediates in the synthesis of biologically-active 7-azanorbornanes with heteroaromatic substituents. A full account of this work is forthcoming.

## Conclusions

A new methodology has been described for the stereoselective synthesis of 7 -azanorbornanes from pyrroles and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds. The cycloaddition is promoted by the use of an Os(II) complexing agent which transforms the pyrrole into an azomethine ylide fragment capable of 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions. In this approach, addition occurs readily at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a variety of dipolaropiles including $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters, nitriles, anhydrides, and imides. The metal not only activates the pyrrole ring but also stabilizes the resulting 7 -azanorbornene ligand. For the cases examined, the cycloaddition occurs with a preference for exo stereochemistry (for the electron-withdrawing substituent) when the pyrrole nitrogen is unsubstituted and for endo stereochemistry when the nitrogen is either alkylated or silylated. The principal reaction to compete with the cycloaddition is Michael addition at the $\beta$ carbon, which is favored when the corresponding enolate intermediate is stabilized by the solvent, carbonyl substituent, or a Lewis acid. The methodology can be applied to the stereoselective synthesis of 7 -azanorbornanes and epibatidine analogs in several steps from commercially available pyrroles.

[^8]
## Experimental Section

General Methods. Routine ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) spectra were recorded on a General Electric QE-300 or GN300 spectrometer and are reported in ppm shift from tetramethylsilane. ${ }^{48}$ Multiplicities for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR resonances were assigned by DEPT. Electrochemical experiments were performed under nitrogen using a PAR Model 362 potentiostat driven by a PAR Model 175 universal programmer. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded (Kipp \& Zonen BD90 XY recorder) in a standard three-electrode cell from +1.5 to -1.5 V with a glassy carbon working electrode. All potentials are reported vs NHE and were determined in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(\sim 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ TBAH) using ferrocene ( $E_{1 / 2}=0.55 \mathrm{~V}$ ) in situ as a calibration standard. The peak-to-peak separation ( $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}-E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c}}$ ) was between 70 and 100 mV for all reversible couples reported unless otherwise noted. Unless specified otherwise, this work was carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere in a Vacuum Atmospheres Co. glovebox. Separate boxes were used for aqueous and nonaqueous operations unless otherwise noted. All compounds in this study were either achiral or racemic mixtures, and the names and structures describe only the relative stereochemistry of substituents.

Solvents. All distillations were performed under nitrogen, and all solvents were deoxygenated by purging with nitrogen for at least 20 min . Deuterated solvents were deoxygenated by repeated freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Methylene chloride was refluxed for at least 8 h over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and distilled. Diethyl ether was refluxed for at least 8 h over N a/benzophenone and distilled. Methanol was refluxed over $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, prepared in situ from $\mathrm{Mg}^{0}$ activated by iodine, and distilled. Acetonitrile was refluxed over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and distilled. DME, DMAc (Aldrich, anhydrous), and acetone (Burdick and Jackson) were deoxygenated prior to use but otherwise were used as supplied.

Reagents. $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{OTf}\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ was synthesized from either osmium tetroxide or ammonium hexachloroosmate (Colonial Metals, Inc.) as described by Lay et al. ${ }^{49}$ Magnesium powder (Aldrich, 50 mesh) was activated by treating with iodine in DME under nitrogen, stirring for 1 h , and washing with DMAc, acetone, and ether. The pyrrole ligands (Aldrich, Sigma, Pfaltz and Bauer) were distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ under nitrogen. 2-Ethylpyrrole was obtained from Trans World Chemicals. Commercially available dipolarophiles were either used as supplied (solids) or distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stored at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ammonia-methanol ( $\sim 15 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) solution used for chromatography was prepared by saturating methanol with anhydrous ammonia at room temperature (maintained using an ice bath). The silica gel was Merck grade $60,230-400$ mesh. The alumina was Fisher no. A-540 80-200 mesh (sold as "adsorption alumina"; a $1: 1$ slurry with water has a $\mathrm{pH}>9$ ). The preparative TLC plates were $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$, 0.7 mm tapered plates obtained from Analtech.

General Procedure for the Isolation and Purification of Osmium Complexes. The osmium complexes, in the form of their triflate salts, were precipitated from solution by dilution with ether or methylene chloride. In a typical experiment, a solution of a complex (in DMAc, acetonitrile, acetone, methanol, or other highly polar solvent) was added to a larger volume of methylene chloride, ether, or mixtures of the two. Methylene chloride was used in precipitations from DMAc solutions ( $50-100 \mathrm{~mL}$ per g of DMAc) as in the isolation of pyrrole complexes 1-7; ether was used to precipitate the complexes from their methanol, acetonitrile, or acetone solutions ( $\sim 10-40 \mathrm{~mL}$ per grarn of the polar solvent). Occasionally, upon attempted precipitation, an oil or gummy residue formed. In these cases, the supernatant was decanted, and then the oil was dissolved in acetone or acetonitrile and again added dropwise to ether or methylene chloride. The precipitates were filtered using medium or fine fritted glass funnels, washed with several (5-10 mL per mmol of complex) portions of methylene chloride and ether, and then dried under nitrogen or in vacuo.

The osmium complexes 2-7 prepared this way are typically $>90 \%$ pure. The impurities at this stage include up to $5-8 \%$ of a binuclear complex, and some solvent (e.g., DMAc). ${ }^{50}$ Most osmium complexes

[^9]reported in this paper may be purified by ion exhange chromatography using Sephadex CM, C-25 (typical loading: $50-200 \mathrm{mg}$ of complex on a $1 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ column of adsorbent), using aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(0.3-0.35$ M) as the mobile phase. The pure complexes may be separated from the mobile phase by addition of a concentrated aqueous solution of sodium tetraphenylborate, which precipitates the complexes as their tetraphenylborate salts. ${ }^{51}$

One-Pot Procedure for the Synthesis of Cycloadduct Complexes. This procedure may be done on the bench. The dipolarophile (e.g., methyl acrylate) was added directly to the reaction mixture following the synthesis of the pyrrole complex. After $1-12 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction mixture was filtered to remove the magnesium, and the filtrate was added to $1: 1$ methylene chloride/ether ( 100 mL per $g$ of DMAc used in the synthesis). The solid was collected by filtration, washed, and dried under nitrogen, affording $\sim 95 \%$ yield of the cycloadduct complex containing a variable amount ( $0.1-1$ equiv) of DMAc.

Synthesis of 7-Azanorbornanes. The following procedure was done on the bench: The cycloadduct complex ( 1.0 mmol ) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 4 g ), protonated with excess triflic acid ( $3-5$ equiv), and treated with DDQ ( $0.5-1$ equiv). The osmium salts that crystallize were removed by filtration (this is optional). The dark solution was transferred to a $50-\mathrm{mL}$, round-bottom flask with the aid of an additional 20 mL of acetonitrile, then treated with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 20 \mathrm{~mol}$ \% Pd), and hydrogenated under 1 atm $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$. An aliquot was evaporated and analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in acetone- $d_{6}$ to check for completion. Workup A. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, the cake was washed with acetonitrile, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in water ( $\sim 10-15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), transferred to a separatory funnel, made basic with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with methylene chloride ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated, affording the product. Workup B. The hydrogenation reaction mixture was filtered, evaporated, the residue made basic with ammonia-methanol solution or $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(\sim 1-5 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted or extracted with methylene chloride or ether ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and then filtered directly through a $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~cm}$ plug of silica gel in a $30-\mathrm{mL}$ medium fritted glass funnel. The flask and silica were washed with an additional $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of methylene chloride or ether containing $\sim 5-10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}-$ methanol, and the filtrate evaporated, affording the product. The 7 -azanorbornane free bases were purified, and the isomers were separated by column chromatography or preparative TLC. ${ }^{52}$

Pyrrole Complexes 1-7. The synthesis and characterization of these complexes $\left\{\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{~L})\right\}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}[\mathrm{~L}=$ pyrrole (1), 1-methylpyrrole (2), 2,5-dimethylpyrrole (3), 1,2,5-trimethylpyrrole (4), and 2-ethylpyrrole (6)] has been reported. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~b} . e}$ A slight modification of the original procedure was used for preparations done on a larger scale, as illustrated below for complex 2.
$\left\{4,5-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]-1\right.$-methylpyrrole $\}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (2). To $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}-\right.$ (OTf)](OTf) $2(7.226 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and activated magnesium ( 3 g ) was added 1 -methylpyrrole ( $9 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DME ( 20 mL ), and DMAc $(6 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in that order. The mixture was stirred for 45 min to 1 h (the temperature rose to $35-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over the course of 15 min and then gradually dropped after 30 min ). ${ }^{53}$ The brown slurry was filtered through a thin pad of Celite on a $60-\mathrm{mL}$ medium fritted glass funnel, and the cake was washed with $\sim 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ DME in small portions. The viscous filtrate was added to methylene chloride ( 300 mL ) with vigorous stirring, and the resulting yellow precipitate filtered through a $150-\mathrm{mL}$ medium fritted funnel and washed with methylene chloride ( 50 mL )

[^10]and ether ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then dried under nitrogen, affording 6.323 g ( $97 \%$ ) of a yellow-tan solid. The characterization of this compound has been reported in ref 13 b .
$\left\{4,5 \cdot \eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]\right.$-1-trimethylsilylpyrrole $\}(\mathbf{O T f})_{2}$ (5). ${ }^{54}$ To a solution of pyrrole complex 1 ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Proton Sponge ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.4 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added TMSOTf ( 80 mg , 0.36 mmol ). After 35 min the mixture was treated with methylene chloride ( 40 mL ), yielding a tan powder ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.90 (br s, 3 H, trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 2.73 (br s, 12H, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 0.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, TMS); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 130.6(\mathrm{CH}), 111.4(\mathrm{CH}), 79.6(\mathrm{CH}), 58.6$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 0.2$ (TMS); $E_{p, a}=0.28 \mathrm{~V}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}{ }^{-}$ SiOs: C, 15.17; H, 3.96; N, 11.79. Found: C, 14.60; H, 3.72; N, $11.85 .{ }^{51}$
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]-4 \beta, 7 \beta\right.$-imino-2-phenyl-3a $\alpha, 4,7,7 \mathrm{a} \alpha$-tetra-hydro- $1 H$-isoindole-1,3( $2 H$ )-dione $\}(O T f)_{2}(8 a)$. To a solution of the pyrrole complex (1) ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was added a solution of $N$-phenylmaleimide ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.2$ g). After 10 min , the solution was added to ether ( 30 mL ) affording a tan powder ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). This material consisted of a $\sim 6: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{8 a}$ to $\mathbf{8 b}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. When this synthesis was carried out on a $1-3 \mathrm{mmol}$ scale in acetonitrile ( 0.06 M ) and the reaction mixture concentrated under reduced pressure, the exo isomer ( $\mathbf{8 a}$ ) crystallized in $41-42 \%$ yield. Data for $8 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 7.45-7.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 4.09 (s, 2H, H1, H4), 4.04 (br s, 3H, trans- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.23 (br s, 12 H, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 3.21 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 176.6(\mathrm{CO}), 134.4(\mathrm{CH}), 132.8(\mathrm{C}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $65.3(\mathrm{CH}), 52.9(\mathrm{CH}), 51.7(\mathrm{CH}) ; E_{\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{a}}=0.82 \mathrm{~V}$. This compound was purified by ion-exchange chromatography and isolated as its tetraphenylborate dihydrate salt. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Os} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 62.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.01 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.24$. Found: C, $62.49 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.10 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.38$.
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta \cdot \eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]-4 \beta, 7 \beta\right.$-imino-2-phenyl-3a $\beta, 4,7,7 \mathrm{a} \beta$-tetra-hydro-1 H -isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione\}(OTf$)_{2}(\mathbf{8 b})$. To a solution of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.47 \mathrm{~g})$ was added a solution of HF in pyridine ( 268 mg of a $\sim 0.7 \%$ solution, $\sim 1$ equiv of HF ; stock solution prepared by mixing 0.30 mL of Aldrich $\mathrm{HF} /$ pyridine $(\sim 70 \%$ HF ) with 30 mL of pyridine). After 20 min , the solution was added to $2: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, affording $81 \mathrm{mg}(83 \%)$ of a purple solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 7.5-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15$ (br s, 3 H , trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.41 (br s, 2H), 3.22 (s, 2H), 3.20 (br s, 12H, cis$\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 170.0(\mathrm{CO}), 132.4(\mathrm{C}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4(\mathrm{CH}), 63.7(\mathrm{CH}), 50.8(\mathrm{CH}), 46.6(\mathrm{CH}) ; E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.85 \mathrm{~V}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{Os}$ : C, 23.62; H, 3.34; N, 12.05 Found: C, 22.87; H, 3.12; N, 11.75. ${ }^{51}$
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta_{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]-4 \beta, 7 \beta\right.$-imino-8-methyl-2-phenyl-3a $\beta, 4,7,-$ $7 \mathrm{a} \beta$-tetra-hydro-1 H -isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione $\}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(9 \mathrm{~b})$. To a solution of the 1 -methylpyrrole complex (2) ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(0.3 \mathrm{~g})$ was added a solution of $N$-phenylmaleimide ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$. After 5 min the solution was treated with ether ( 15 mL ), affording a $1: 6$ mixture of $\mathbf{9 a}$ to $\mathbf{9 b}$ as a tan powder ( 141 mg , $85 \%$ ). Data for 9 b : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 7.46-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph})$, 4.11 (br s, 3 H, trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.86 (dd, $J=3.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}, \mathrm{H} 4$ ), 3.57 (dd, $J=3.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.20(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, cis $\left.-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), 2.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 178.0$ (CO), $133.5(\mathrm{C}), 129.8(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 69.4(\mathrm{CH}), 49.9$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 47.9(\mathrm{CH}), 33.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Data for $9 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 7.46-$ 7.20 (m, 5H, Ph), 4.11 (br s, 3H, trans- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 4.08 (s, 2H, H1, H4), 3.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 3.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), 3.20 (br s, 12H, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), $2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. Data for the mixture of both isomers: $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=$ 0.77 V. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{Os}: \mathrm{C}, 24.67$; $\mathrm{H}, 3.53$; N , 11.85. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 24.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.50$; $\mathrm{N}, 11.14 .{ }^{51}$
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]-4,7\right.$-dimethyl-4 $\beta$,7 $\beta$-imino-2-phenyl-3a,4,7,-7a-tetrahydro- $\mathbf{H}$-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione $\}(\mathbf{O T f})_{2}(10)$. A mixture of the 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex ( 3 ) ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N$-phenylmaleimide ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$. Within minutes a precipitate started to form. After 10 min the mixture was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and filtered, and the cake washed thoroughly with acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) and ether ( 5 mL ). The resulting tan solid ( 156 mg ) was a $1: 1$ mixture of isomers by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

[^11]Compound 10b crystallized in its pure form when the reaction was run under more dilute conditions and allowed to stand for 1 h at room temperature: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right)$ d $7.43-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.29$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.59 (br s, 12 H , cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), $3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 3.08 (s, 2H, H2, H3), 2.55 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 175.7$ (CO), 132.5 (C, Cl'), $128.7(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 74.5(\mathrm{C}), 59.4(\mathrm{CH}), 51.5(\mathrm{CH}), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.85$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{Os}: \mathrm{C}, 25.68$; $\mathrm{H}, 3.71$; $\mathrm{N}, 11.65$. Found: C, 25.65; H, 3.81; N, 11.46.

The filtrate from above was added to ether ( 40 mL ), affording 10a as a light tan solid ( $291 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$; combined yield of both isomers: $89 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, trans- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.50 (br s, 12H, cis- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.09 (s, 2H, H5, H6), 2.99 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), 2.52 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 1.45 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 174.0(\mathrm{CO}), 132.8\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}\right), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 128.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $72.7(\mathrm{C}), 58.6(\mathrm{CH}), 57.4(\mathrm{CH}), 15.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. A $7 \%$ NOE between methine ring resonances at 3.68 and 3.33 ppm in acetone $-d_{6}$ is consistent with exo stereochemistry; $E_{\text {p.a }}=0.81 \mathrm{~V}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{Os}: \mathrm{C}, 25.68 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.71$; N, 11.65. Found: C, 25.59 ; H, 3.43; N, 12.10 .
$\left\{\mathbf{5 \beta}, 6 \boldsymbol{\beta}-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]\right.$-4 $\boldsymbol{\beta}, 7 \boldsymbol{\beta}$-imino-2-phenyl-3a,4,7,7a-tetrahydro-$4,7,8$-trimethyl- 1 H -isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione $\}(\mathbf{O T f})_{2}$ (11). To a solution of the $1,2,5$-trimethylpyrrole complex (4) ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.292 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was added a solution of $N$-phenylmaleimide ( 52 mg , $0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$. After 10 min the orange crystals that formed were filtered, washed with ether, and dried in vacuo, affording $155 \mathrm{mg}(62 \%)$ of a light orange powder. 11b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $1: 1$ acetone$d_{6} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.45$ (br s, 3H, trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.67 (br s, 12 H, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.39 (s, 2H, H2, H3), 3.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 2.16 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $1.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $1: 1$ acetone- $d_{6}$ ) DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 177.2(\mathrm{CO}), 133.6(\mathrm{C}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 77.6(\mathrm{C}), 55.8(\mathrm{CH}), 52.3(\mathrm{CH}), 26.9\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 17.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{A}$ $7 \%$ NOE enhancement of the ring protons upon irradiation of the $N$-methyl substituent is consistent with endo stereochemistry.

The filtrate was added to ether ( 10 mL ) affording compound 11a as light tan-orange solid ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ yield; $77 \%$ yield of both isomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $1: 1$ acetone- $d_{6} / \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.53$ (br s, 3H, trans- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.64 (br s, 12H, cis- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.42 (s, 2H, H2, H3), 3.20 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 2.03 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.52 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (1:1 acetone- $d_{6}$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 175.2$ (CO), 128.6 (CH), 128.4 (CH), $127.5(\mathrm{C}), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 76.3$ (C), $58.9(\mathrm{CH}), 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 27.6$ $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Data for the mixture of both isomers: $E_{1 / 2}=$ 0.83 V . Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Os}: \mathrm{C}, 26.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.89 ; \mathrm{N}$, 11.46. Found: C, 26.48 ; H, 3.78; N, 11.65 .
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\operatorname{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{s}}\right]-4 \beta, 7 \boldsymbol{\beta}\right.$-imino-2-phenyl-3a $\beta, 4,7,7 \mathrm{a} \beta$-tetra-hydro-8-trimethylsilyl-1 H -isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione $\}(\mathbf{O T f})_{2}$ (12b). To a solution of $1(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Hünig's base ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.17$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.47 \mathrm{~g})$ was added a solution of TMSOTf $(0.41 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.76 \mathrm{~g})$. After standing for 1.3 h , a solution of $N$-phenylmaleimide ( $1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 8.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.50 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. After standing for an additional 3 h , the solution was added to $2: 1$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(225 \mathrm{~mL})$, affording $1.10 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ as a purplebrown powder: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 7.43-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.35$ (dd, $J=3.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}, \mathrm{H} 4$ ), 4.10 (br s, 3 H , trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.36 (dd, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), $3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), 3.14 (br $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 0.07 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TMS}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 176.1$ (CO), $132.6(\mathrm{C}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.6(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4(\mathrm{CH}), 64.7(\mathrm{CH}), 51.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $49.2(\mathrm{CH}),-1.0(\mathrm{TMS}) ; E_{\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{a}}=0.86 \mathrm{~V}$. This compound isomerized to the $2 H$-pyrrole 27 upon attempted purification by ion-exchange chromatography.
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]-2 \beta, 3 \beta\right.$-bis(carbomethoxy)-1,4-dimethyl-7-azabicyclo-[2.2.1]hept-5-ene\} $\left(\mathrm{OTf}_{2}\right.$ (13a). To a solution of 2,5 dimethylpyrrole complex ( $\mathbf{3}$ ) ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was added a solution of dimethyl maleate ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.15 \mathrm{~g})$. Within minutes of mixing, crystals started to form, and after 2 h the orange crystals were filtered, washed with ether, and dried in vacuo. A pale orange crystalline solid was obtained ( 69 mg , $38 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.63$ (br s, 3 H , trans- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.96 (br s, 12 H, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.53 (s, 2 H ), 3.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone $-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 172.7(\mathrm{CO}), 74.1(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cl}$, C4), $59.3(\mathrm{CH}), 59.2(\mathrm{CH}), 51.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The filtrate was added to ether $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ affording a mixture of 13a and $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ as a tan
solid ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \% ; 82 \%$ yield of both isomers). Data for 13b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.63$ (br s, 3H, trans- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.93 (br s, 12 H , cis$\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.53 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 3.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), $2.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 172.7$ $(\mathrm{CO}), 74.1(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{C} 4), 59.3(\mathrm{CH}), 59.2(\mathrm{CH}), 51.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The ring protons in the succinate moiety of 13a exhibit a $7 \%$ NOE enhancement upon irradiation of the olefinic ring protons, similar to the enhancement observed for the maleimide/trimethylpyrrole adduct 11a. Data for both isomers: $E_{\text {p.a }}=0.80$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{Os}: \mathrm{C}, 20.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.97$; N, 10.34. Found: C, 20.34; H, 3.90; N, 10.49.
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]\right.$-1,4-dimethyl-3 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-carbomethoxy- $\boldsymbol{2 \beta}$-( $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-py-ridyl)-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene\}(OTf $)_{2}$ (14a). The 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex (3) ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl ( Z )-3-( $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ pyridyl)acrylate ${ }^{55}$ ( $292 \mathrm{mg}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) were dissolved in DMAc ( 0.5 g ) and allowed to stand for 18 h . The dark solution was diluted with acetonitrile ( 1 mL , to reduce the viscosity) and added dropwise to $1: 1$ methylene chloride-ether ( 80 mL ), affording 413 mg ( $83 \%$ ) of a dark tan solid. This solid consisted of a $9: 1$ mixture of exo and endo isomers by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Data for 14a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.37$ (br s, 2H, overlap of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 7.61 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}$ ), 7.22 (m, 1H, H5'), 4.63 (br s, 3H, trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 4.00 (br s, 12 H , cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), $3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{NH}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), $1.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $172.9(\mathrm{CO}), 152.0(\mathrm{CH}), 148.1(\mathrm{CH}), 137.5(\mathrm{CH}), 134.6(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C} 3$ ), 122.6 $(\mathrm{CH}), 73.9(\mathrm{C}), 73.3(\mathrm{C}), 61.8(\mathrm{CH}), 61.3(\mathrm{CH}), 59.8(\mathrm{CH}), 57.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $50.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 16.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 15.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; E_{\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{a}}=0.87 \mathrm{~V}$. This compound isomerized to the corresponding $2 H$-pyrrole (29) upon attempted purification by ion exchange chromatography.
 hept-5-ene $\}\left(\mathbf{O T f}_{2}\right.$ (15). The pyrrole complex (1) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.156$ mmol ) and dimethyl fumarate ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in acetonitrile ( 0.5 g ) with stirring and the mixture was allowed to stand for 6 h , while a crystalline solid precipitated. The mixture was diluted with acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) and added to $1: 1$ ether/methylene chloride ( 50 mL ), affording $95 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$ of a tan solid. Data for $15:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 4.07$ (br s, 3 H, trans $\left.-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl})$, 3.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ ), 3.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.64 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.52 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 3.22 (br s, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cis}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), $3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 175.6(\mathrm{CO}), 172.7(\mathrm{CO})$, $68.0(\mathrm{CH}), 64.6(\mathrm{CH}), 53.5(\mathrm{CH}), 52.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.1(\mathrm{CH})$; $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.79 \mathrm{~V}$. The tetraphenylborate salt was obtained following ion exchange chromatography: Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Os}$ : C, 61.92; H, 6.09; N, 7.47. Found: C, 61.72; H, 5.98; N, 7.13.
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]-2 \beta, 3 \alpha\right.$-bis(carbomethoxy)-1,4-dimethyl-7-azabicyclo-[2.2.1]hept-5-ene $\}(\mathbf{O T f})_{2}(\mathbf{1 6})$. The 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex (3) ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethyl fumarate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$. After 5 min the solution was added to ether ( 30 mL ) affording $207 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$ of an ivory-colored solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.62$ (br s, 3H, trans- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.91 (br s, 12 H, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.64 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.50 (d, $J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ (d, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 175.3(\mathrm{CO}), 172.0(\mathrm{CO}), 76.4(\mathrm{C})$, $74.8(\mathrm{C}), 63.2(\mathrm{CH}), 59.0(\mathrm{CH}), 58.3(\mathrm{CH}), 54.4(\mathrm{CH}), 51.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $51.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 18.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; E_{1 / 2}=0.78 \mathrm{~V}$. The tetraphenylborate salt was obtained following ion exchange chromatography. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Os}: \mathrm{C}, 62.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.29 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.29$. Found: C, $62.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.11$; N, 6.97 .
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}\right.$ - $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]$-1,4-dimethyl-3 $\beta$-carbomethoxy- $2 \alpha$ - $\left(\mathbf{3}^{\prime}\right.$-py-ridyl)-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene\}(OTf) $)_{\text {(17a). The } 2,5 \text {-dimeth- }}$ ylpyrrole complex ( 3 ) $\left(669 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) and methyl ( $E$ )-3-( $3^{\prime}$ -
(55) Methyl (Z)-3-(3'-pyridyl)acrylate was prepared from nicotinaldehyde using the Still-Gennari procedure (Still, W. C.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4405) as described for the 6 -chloro derivative. ${ }^{6 c}$ In order to obtain high selectivity ( $\mathrm{Z}: E>10: 1$ ), however, it is essential to use pure THF as the reaction solvent (Dr. Sidduri AchyuthaRao, personal communication; ref 6 c ). In our hands, addition of the commercially available 0.5 M toluene solution of potassium hexamethyldisilazide to the other reagents in THF solution as described by Still and Gennari (approximate toluene content of the reaction mixture: $10 \%$ ) led to a complete reversal of the expected stereoselectivity ( $Z: E=1: 9$ ).
pyridyl)acrylate ${ }^{56}$ ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol ( 0.65 g ) and allowed to stand for 14 h . The dark solution was diluted with acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) and methylene chloride ( 5 mL ), and added to ether ( 50 mL ), affording $774 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of a dark tan solid. ${ }^{57}$ This material consisted of a $94: 6$ ratio of isomers by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Data for $17 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime}\right), 8.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}\right)$, 7.8 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 7.24 (dd, $J=7.8,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}$ ), 4.65 (br s, 3 H , trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.98 (br s, 12H, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.81 (d, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 175.0(\mathrm{CO}), 149.9(\mathrm{CH}), 147.7(\mathrm{CH}), 136.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 134.8(\mathrm{C}), 123.1(\mathrm{CH}), 75.9(\mathrm{C}), 74.2(\mathrm{C}), 62.4(\mathrm{CH}), 61.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $57.6(\mathrm{CH}), 52.5(\mathrm{CH}), 51.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 15.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.89$ V . The tetraphenylborate monohydrate salt was obtained following ion exchange chromatography. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2^{-}}$ Os $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.35 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.24$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 63.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.27$; N, 8.23.
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]\right.$-2 $\boldsymbol{f}$-carbomethoxy-1,4-dimethyl-7-azabicy-clo[2.2.1]-hept-5-ene $\}(\mathbf{O T f})_{2}$ (18a). The 2,4-dimethylpyrrole complex (3) $(669 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in methyl acrylate ( 2 g ), and the slurry was stirred for 1 h . Acetonitrile ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to dissolve the solids, and the resulting solution was added to ether ( 50 mL ), affording $730 \mathrm{mg}(97 \%)$ of an off-white powder. This solid consisted of a 92:8 mixture of 18a and 18 b , respectively, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (the NMR data for 18a has been previously reported): ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~d}} E_{\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{a}}=0.69$ V. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ Os: C, 19.10; H, 4.01; N, 11.14. Found: C, 18.57; H, 3.96; N, 11.02.
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta_{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]\right.$-2-cyano-1,4-dimethyl-7-azabicyclo-[2.2.1]-hept-5-ene\}(OTf) $)_{2}$ (19). The 2,5-dimethylpyrrole complex (3) (201 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acrylonitrile ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the solution was allowed to stand for 30 min and then added to ether ( 40 mL ), affording 180 mg ( $83 \%$ ) of a tan precipitate. This product was found to be a $5: 1$ mixture of isomers by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Major (exo) isomer (19a): ${ }^{58}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 4.01$ (br s, 3 H , trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.33 (br s, 12 H, cis $\left.-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H} 3 \alpha$ ), 1.76 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 1.65 (dd, $J=12.2,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3 \beta$ ), 1.51 $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 122.0(\mathrm{CN})$, $74.5(\mathrm{C}), 71.8(\mathrm{C}), 58.1(\mathrm{CH}), 56.7(\mathrm{CH}), 48.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.3(\mathrm{CH}), 18.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; E_{1 / 2}=0.77 \mathrm{~V}$. The tetraphenylborate salt was obtained following ion exchange chromatography. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Os}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.44 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.08$. Found: C, $63.0 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.05; N, 9.01 .
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]\right.$-1,4-dimethyl-spiro(7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2, $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$-(dihydro- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \mathbf{H}\right]$ furanone) $\}$ (OTf $)_{2}$ (22a). To a solution of the 2,5 -dimethylpyrrole complex (3) ( $801 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( lg ) was added $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone ( $824 \mathrm{mg}, 8.40$ mmol ). After 45 min , the solution was added to ether ( 50 mL ), yielding $883 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$ of an ivory-colored solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 4.30$ (ddd, $J=13.2,7.4,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.17 (ddd, $J=13.2,8.6,8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 4.02 (br s, 3 H , trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.37 (br s, 13 H , cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ overlap with H6), 3.26 (d, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), 2.56 (ddd, $J=9.0$, $8.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.27 (ddd, $J=9.0,8.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95$ (d, $J=$ $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta 183.0(\mathrm{C}), 76.7(\mathrm{C}), 71.4(\mathrm{C}), 66.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 59.9(\mathrm{CH}), 57.5(\mathrm{C}), 55.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.4(\mathrm{CH}), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. When the downfield olefinic ring proton resonance is irradiated in acetone- $d_{6}$, it generates a $12 \%$ NOE enhancement with one and a $6 \%$ NOE enhancement with the other diastereotopic methylene proton on $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$ of the spirolactone ring; $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a}}=0.76 \mathrm{~V}$. Anal.

[^12]Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}: \mathrm{C}, 20.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.94 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.96$. Found: C, 20.47; H, 4.09; N, 10.60 .
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]-4,7\right.$-dihydro-4 $\beta$, $7 \beta$-imino-3a $\alpha, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha$-propano$1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{3 H}$-isobenzofuran-1,3-dione $\}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(23 \mathrm{a})$. Acetonitrile ( 0.2 g ) was added to a mixture of 1,2 -cyclopentenedicarboxylic acid anhydride ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and complex 3 ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 20 min , the mixture was diluted with acetonitrile ( 1 mL ) and added to ether ( 20 mL ), yielding a tan solid ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ): ${ }^{59}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 4.34 (br s, 3 H , trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.57 (br s, 12 H , cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.40 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$, H 6 ), 2.92 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 173.7(\mathrm{CO}), 76.4(\mathrm{C}), 74.8(\mathrm{C}), 53.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $32.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; E_{1 / 2}=0.85 \mathrm{~V}$. The recrystallized material was purified further by ion exchange chromatography and isolated as the tetraphenylborate dihydrate salt; IR ( KBr ) 1858, 1827, $1769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Os} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.93 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.30; N, 7.10. Found: C, 62.29; H, 6.17; N, 7.06.
$\left\{\mathbf{3 \beta}, 4 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{s}}\right]-2 \alpha\right.$-( N -phenylsuccinimid- $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ - $\left.\mathbf{y} \mathrm{l}\right)-2 \mathrm{H}$-pyrrole $\}-$ $(\mathbf{O T f})_{2}$ (27). This compound was formed in the attempted purification of compound $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ and was also prepared in the following manner: A solution of complex $\mathbf{1 2 b}(89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was treated with a solution of triflic acid ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of water $(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$ and acetone $(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$. After 7 min , the reaction mixture was added to ether ( 40 mL ), affording 85 mg of a purple solid. A solution of this material in acetone ( 0.4 g ) was treated with a solution of Proton Sponge ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 0.2 g ), and after 10 $\min$ the solution added to methylene chloride ( 50 mL ), affording 45 $\mathrm{mg}(63 \%)$ of the title product: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H5})$, $7.5-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 4.31 (br s, 3 H , trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.82 (ddd, $J=9.2,4.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H3'), 3.09 (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), 2.96 (br s, 12 H, cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 2.71 (dd, $J=18.3,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Compound 27 was isolated as a tetraphenylborate monohydrate salt following the attempted chromatography of 12b. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{~B}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Os}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.53$; H, 5.93; N, 8.37. Found: C, 63.35; H, 5.99; N, 8.03.
$\left\{3 \beta, 4 \beta-\eta^{2}\right.$ - $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{s}}\right]$-2 $\boldsymbol{2}, 5$-dimethyl-2 $\alpha$-( 1 -[ $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-pyridyl]-3-carbo-methoxy-ethyl)-2H-pyrrole\}(OTf) 2 (29a). This compound was formed in the attempted purification of compound 14 and could also be prepared in the following manner: The cycloadduct complex $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.132$ mmol ) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 0.5 g ), treated with TBSOTf ( 69 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.261 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and after 5 min quenched with water ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 3.83$ mmol ). After standing for 40 min the solution was made basic with excess Hünig's base ( 0.3 g ) and added to methylene chloride ( 50 mL ), affording 75 mg ( $68 \%$ ) of a tan-brown powder: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta$ $8.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime}\right), 8.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}\right), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 7.23 (dd, $J=7.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), $4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.47 (d, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.42 (br s, 3 H , trans $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), $3.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 3.4-3.0 (br s, m overlap, 14 H , cis $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}+2 \times \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.65 (dd, $J=$ $15.6,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{lH}), 1.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 180.2$ (C, C5), 172.7 (CO), 151.3 (CH), $147.4(\mathrm{CH}), 137.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 136.7$ (C, C3'), 122.4 (CH), $79.0(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C} 2), 63.8(\mathrm{CH}), 60.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $51.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 50.4(\mathrm{CH}), 35.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. This compound was isolated as its tetraphenylborate monohydrate salt following the chromatography of 14a. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{73}-$ $\mathrm{N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Os} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.35 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.24$. Found: C, 63.64; H, 6.53; N, 8.16.

3a $\alpha, 4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{a} \alpha$-Hexahydro-2-phenyl- $4 \beta, 7 \beta$-imino- 1 H -isoindole$\mathbf{1 , 3}(2 \mathrm{H})$-dione (31a). The crude cycloadduct complex $8(814 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ mmol ) was protonated, oxidized ( 1 equiv DDQ), ${ }^{60}$ and hydrogenated in methanol using $28 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure described above. After 2 h , the reaction was complete. Workup B (eluent: $4: 1$ ether-methanol, $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) afforded the title compound ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ) as a $5: 1$ mixture of exo and endo isomers. This material was recrystallized from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether,

[^13]affording 42 mg ( $17 \%$ ) of 31a as colorless crystals (mp 206$209 \times \mathrm{alC}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.5-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}, \mathrm{H} 4$ ), $2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3), 1.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.54(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.3(\mathrm{CO}), 132.1(\mathrm{C}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $128.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 59.9(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4), 49.0(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3), 29.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 69.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.82 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.56$. Found: C, 69.29; H, 5.90; N, 11.74.

3a $\beta, 4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{\beta}$-Hexahydro-2-phenyl-4 $\beta, 7 \boldsymbol{\beta}$-imino- $1 H$-isoindole$\mathbf{1 , 3 ( 2 H})$-dione (31b). Compound $\mathbf{8 b}(0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated as described for 8 a using 1 equiv of DDQ. The hydrogenation was complete in 1.5 h in acetonitrile using $25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. The crude material ( 149 mg ) obtained using workup A was chromatographed on silica gel (ether and then $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ in ether as the eluent), affording the product ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). Recrystallization from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether afforded analytically pure material (mp 137$138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.6-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.18$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}$ and H 4 ), 3.64 (br s, 1H, NH), 3.41 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2$ and H3), $1.8-1.6$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.9$ (C), $132.0(\mathrm{C}), 129.7(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9(\mathrm{CH}), 59.6(\mathrm{CH}), 51.5(\mathrm{CH}), 26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, $69.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.82 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.56$. Found: C, $69.42 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.75; N, 11.32.

8-Ethyl-3a $\alpha, 4,5,6,7 \mathrm{a} \alpha$-hexahydro-2-phenyl-4 $\boldsymbol{\beta , 7 \beta \text { -imino- } 1 H \text { -isoin- }}$ dole-1,3(2H)-dione (32a). The procedure used in the synthesis of compound 31a was repeated on a 1.0 mmol scale using acetonitrile instead of methanol as the hydrogenation solvent. After 18 h , the crude product was isolated using method A , and chromatographed on silica gel ( $3.5 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$ column). Elution with ether yielded $56 \mathrm{mg}(21 \%)$ of 32a ( $R_{f} 0.8$; ether containing $\sim 1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Further elution with 1:3:30 $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} /$ methanol/ether yielded compound 31a ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ ) ( $R_{f} 0.2$; ether containing $\sim 1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ). The first fraction was treated with decolorizing charcoal, filtered, and evaporated, and the residue was recrystallized from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, affording colorless crystals of 32a ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ): mp $126-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.5-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}, \mathrm{H} 4), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), 2.37 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $1.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H5}_{\beta}, \mathrm{H6}_{\beta}\right)$, $1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5_{\alpha}, \mathrm{H}_{\alpha}\right), 1.04\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.8(\mathrm{CO}), 132.4\left(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}\right), 129.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 62.6(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{C} 4), 49.5(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3), 40.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 25.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $14.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 71.09 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.36$. Found: C, 71.49; H, 7.03; N, 10.09.
$2 \beta, 3 \alpha-B i s($ carbomethoxy ) $\mathbf{- 7}$-azabicyclo $[2.2$.1] heptane (33). To a solution of cycloadduct 15 ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triflic acid ( 73 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(0.57 \mathrm{~g})$ was added a solution of DDQ ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 0.56 g ). The acetonitrile was evaporated, and the hydrogenation was carried out in methanol as described in the synthesis of compound 31a. Purification by preparative TLC ( $1: 1: 8$ methanol/HMDS/methylene chloride) afforded 27 mg ( $42 \%$ ) of the title product as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.95(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.695$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.22(\mathrm{td}, J=4.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), 2.55 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $1.8-1.3$ (overlapping $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.8(\mathrm{CO}), 172.1(\mathrm{CO}), 61.8(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Cl}$ or C 4$), 59.1(\mathrm{CH}$, C 4 or Cl$), 52.3(\mathrm{CH}), 52.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 52.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 50.1$ ( CH ), $28.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{27}$
2-(Carbomethoxy)-7-methyl-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (34). A solution of the 1 -methylpyrrole complex (2) $(6.323 \mathrm{~g}, 0.966 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methyl acrylate ( 20 rnL ) and DMAc ( 3 mL ) was allowed to stand for 9 h . The solution was added to methylene chloride ( 300 mL ), affording $20(6.197 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers. The oxidation and hydrogenation steps were done as described in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 5}$ (workup B), affording the isomers in a combined yield of 914 mg ( $65 \%$ from 20, $55 \%$ overall) after chromatographic separation (silica gel, $5 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-$ methanol/ether). Exo isomer (34a): $R_{f} 0.76 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}), 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 2.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3 \alpha)$, $1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.6(\mathrm{CO}), 64.2(\mathrm{CH}), 61.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $51.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 47.4(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C} 2), 34.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Endo isomer (34b): $R_{f} 0.62 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}$ or H 4$), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ or H 1 ), $3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 1.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}$, overlap, 3 H$), 1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$,
$50 \times \mathrm{alC}) \delta 174.3(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CO}), 64.1(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Cl}$ or C 4$), 62.1(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C} 4$ or Cl$)$, $51.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 45.2(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C} 2), 34.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The picrate salt (both isomers combined) was crystallized from wet ethanol ( $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{102-108}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, 45.23; H, 4.55; N, 14.07. Found: C, 45.42; H, 4.59; N, 14.10.

2-Cyano-7-methyl-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (35). The following was done on the benchtop: A $50-\mathrm{mL}$, three-necked flask with a thermometer, septum, and nitrogen inlet (connected to a bubbler) was charged with $\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(7.226 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and activated magnesium ( 3 g ). The vessel was thoroughly flushed with nitrogen for 10 min and then degassed 1 -methylpyrrole ( 8 mL ) and DMAc ( 6 mL ) were introduced via syringe in that order. The mixture was shaken to help dissolve the osmium, then stirred, and cooled in a water bath (to maintain a temperature of $25-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 45 min . Degassed acrylonitrile ( 8 mL ) was added by syringe, and the reaction was allowed to proceed without agitation for an additional 3 h . The mixture containing the air-stable cycloadduct complex 20 was filtered through a $150-\mathrm{mL}$ medium fritted funnel, using $\sim 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ acetone in small portions to wash the magnesium filter cake. The filtrate was added to methylene chloride ( 600 mL ), the mixture was diluted with ether ( 400 mL ), and the resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with methylene chloride ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and ether ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and then dried under a blanket of nitrogen. The product (20) was obtained as a tan solid ( 7.23 g of material containing $\sim 15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DMAc by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integration). Compound 20 was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 15 mL ) and treated at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice bath) with a solution of triflic acid ( 2.5 mL ) in acetonitrile $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting protonated complex was treated with a solution of DDQ ( $2.35 \mathrm{~g}, 10.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 5 mL ). The reaction was allowed to stand at this temperature for 1 h , while a solid precipitated from solution. The slurry was filtered, and the cake was washed with acetonitrile ( 60 mL ) in small portions and dried, leaving 5.86 g of an osmium-containing solid. The filtrate was treated with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(2.34 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd})$ and stirred under hydrogen for 1 $h$. (An aliquot was evaporated, and the residue taken up in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to check the progress of the reaction.) The mixture was filtered through Celite, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was made basic with $15 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}-$ methanol ( 30 mL ). The mixture was treated with ether ( 300 mL ), and an additional quantity of precipitated salts removed ( 3.25 g). The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ column, gradient elution of ether containing up to $7 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}-$ methanol), yielding 466 mg of $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ and 447 mg of $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ (combined overall yield is $67 \%$ ) (Analytical TLC using 1:1:8 HMDS/ methanol/methylene chloride). Exo isomer (35a): $R_{f} 0.71$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}), 3.37(\mathrm{t}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4)$, $2.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3 \alpha), 1.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 122.7(\mathrm{CN}), 65.5(\mathrm{CH}), 60.8(\mathrm{CH}), 35.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.9(\mathrm{CH}), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Endo isomer (35b) $R_{f} 0.55$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hl}$ or H 4$), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ or Hl ), 2.92 (dtd [11 line pattern], $J=12, \sim 4.8,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), 2.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m}$ overlap, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3 \beta$ ), $2.0-1.8(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3 \alpha), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 121.7(\mathrm{CN}), 63.8(\mathrm{CH}), 61.6(\mathrm{CH}), 34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 29.2(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C} 2), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The picrate salt (both isomers combined) was crystallized from ethanol (mp 218$224{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 46.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.14 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.17$. Found: C, 45.85 ; H, 4.08; N, 18.88 .

1,4-Dimethyl-2 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-(carbomethoxy)-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (36). The cycloadduct ( $\mathbf{1 8 )}$ ) $2.611 \mathrm{~g}, 3.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and protonated with triflic acid ( $1.557 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 2.5 mL ). After 5 min , a solution of DDQ ( $393 \mathrm{mg}, 1.73$ mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 2.5 mL ) was added. After 30 min , the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, the slurry filtered, and the filter cake was washed with acetonitrile ( $4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), leaving 1.658 g of osmium salts. The filtrate ${ }^{61}$ was treated with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(733 \mathrm{mg}$,
(61) An aliquot of the filtrate was evaporated and analyzed in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, showing the 7 -azanorbornene salt: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CN}) \delta 175.0(\mathrm{CO}), 139.0(\mathrm{CH}), 136.2(\mathrm{CH}), 74.6(\mathrm{C}), 72.2(\mathrm{C}), 53.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 46.4(\mathrm{CH}), 37.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 16.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and reduced over 1 atm hydrogen for 12 h (an aliquot taken after 30 min showed the reaction was $\sim 50 \%$ complete). Workup B afforded 0.513 g of a black syrup containing a $92: 8$ mixture of exo/ endo isomers. This oil was chromatographed on neutral alumina ( 2.5 $\times 22 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) using methylene chloride, affording 382 mg ( $60 \%$ ) of 36a ( $R_{f} 0.7$ on TLC using 1:10 $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$-ethanol $(8 \%)$ /methylene chloride) containing $\sim 4 \%$ of the endo isomer. Data for 36a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data has been reported (ref 13c); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.5$ (CO), $67.7(\mathrm{C}), 63.4(\mathrm{C}), 53.0(\mathrm{CH}), 51.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 44.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. This compound was purified further by crystallization of the picrate salt from anhydrous ethanol: mp $171-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, 46.60; H, 4.89; N, 13.59. Found: C, $46.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.97$; N, 13.50.

1,4-Dimethyl-2 $\beta$-( $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-pyridyl)-3 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-carbomethoxy-7-azabicyclo [2.2.1]heptane ( $\mathbf{3 7 a}$ ). Compound 14 ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 3 g ), protonated with triflic acid ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and added to a solution of DDQ ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.141 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 1 g ). The acetonitrile was evaporated, ${ }^{62}$ and the solid residue was dissolved in methanol ( 4 g ) and hydrogenated under $1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $10 \%$ Pd/C ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) for 16 h . Workup A gave 40 mg of resin, that after preparative TLC ( $1: 1: 10$ methanol/HMDS/methylene chloride) afforded 37a ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \% ; R_{f} 0.79$ ) and $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \% ; R_{f} 0.63$ ). Data for 37a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.43$ (d, $\left.J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}\right), 8.37$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 7.66 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}$ ), 7.18 (dd, $J=7.8,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H5'), 3.38 (d, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.18 (d, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{NH}\right), 1.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.5(\mathrm{CO}), 151.3(\mathrm{CH}), 148.1(\mathrm{CH}), 137.0$ (CH), 134.7 (C, C3'), 122.3 (CH), 66.5 (C), 65.2 (C), 60.6 (CH), 55.8 $(\mathrm{CH}), 50.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 41.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The bis-picrate salt was crystallized from ethanol (mp 206.5$208.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{16}: \mathrm{C}, 45.13 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.65$; N , 15.59. Found: C, 45.13; H, 3.89; N, 15.41.

Partial characterization of 37b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}$ ), 8.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2^{\prime}$ ), 7.46 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}$ ), 7.31 (dd, $\left.J=8.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H5}^{\prime}\right), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (d, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.0-$ $1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 170.9,151.0,149.5,136.2,131.8,123.5,71.6,69.8,52.9,51.9,51.4$, 30.3, 30.1, 19.7, 19.1; mass spectrum (EI) m/e $261(\mathrm{M}+1), 97$.

1,4-Dimethyl-2 $\alpha$-( $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-pyridyl)-3 $\beta$-carbomethoxy-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (38a) and its $\beta$-Pyridyl- $\alpha$-carbomethoxy isomer (38b). The procedure described for the decomplexation of $\mathbf{1 8}$ was followed exactly for 17, yielding a chromatographically-inseparable 94:6 mixture of 38a to $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ ( $1: 10 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(8 \%)$ in ethanol/methylene chloride; $R_{f} 0.45$ ) in $69 \%$ yield. For 38a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right.$ ' and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ overlap), 7.49 (dt, $J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ '), 7.23 (dd, $J=7.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H5'), 3.64 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.29 (dd, $J=5.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2$ ), 2.95 (d, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 2.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.85-1.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ 's $)$ $1.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.7(\mathrm{CO}), 149.8(\mathrm{CH}), 148.2(\mathrm{CH}), 135.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $134.1(\mathrm{C}), 123.1(\mathrm{CH}), 67.6(2 \times \mathrm{C}$ overlap), $58.7(\mathrm{CH}), 58.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $51.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 38.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
(62) The residue was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ); diagnostic resonances of protonated 7 -azanorbornene: $\delta 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{lH}), 6.38$ (d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Diagnostic features of 38b: $\delta 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 2), 2.8(\mathrm{dd}, J=6$, $2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ). The bis-picrate salt of $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ was crystallized from ethanol (mp 204-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{16}: \mathrm{C}, 45.13 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.65$; N, 15.59. Found: C, 45.29; H, 3.83; N, 15.49.
$\left\{5 \beta, 6 \beta-\eta^{2}-\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]\right.$-1,4-dimethyl-2 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-(hydroxymethyl)-7-azabi-cyclo-[2.2.1]hept-5-ene $\}(\mathbf{O T f})_{2}$ (39). The acrylate cycloadduct (16) ( $730 \mathrm{mg}, 0.967 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in lithium 9BBN-H ( 1 M in THF; $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 45 min , the reaction was quenched with water $(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$ and added to ether ( 100 mL ), affording $627 \mathrm{mg}(89 \%)$ of 27 as an ivory-white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 3.98$ (br s, trans- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.6 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), 3.42 (br s, 12 H, cis- $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 3.1 (m, 2H), 2.95 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.3(\mathrm{~m}$, overlap, 1 H$).{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 73.0(\mathrm{C}), 70.3(\mathrm{C}), 62.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 60.0(\mathrm{CH}), 51.2(\mathrm{CH}), 45.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; $E=0.71 \mathrm{~V}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{Os}: \mathrm{C}, 18.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.16$; $\mathrm{N}, 11.57$. Found: C, 18.57 ; H, 4.34; $\mathrm{N}, 10.88 .{ }^{51}$

1,4-Dimethyl-2 $\beta$-(hydroxymethyl)-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5ene (40). A solution of the reduced complex (39) ( 727 mg 1.0 mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 2 g ) was protonated with excess triflic acid ( 250 mg , 1.67 mmol ) and treated at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a cold solution of ceric ammonium nitrate ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triflic acid ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 3.73$ mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 2 g ). Workup A afforded 147 mg of brown oil. The crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography using 1:10 of $15 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in methanol/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(R_{f} 0.5\right)$, affording 62 $\mathrm{mg}(41 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3$, 2.1, 1 H ), $3.6-2.8$ (br, ${ }^{-2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ and NH ), $1.4-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.2(\mathrm{CH}), 141.5(\mathrm{CH}), 69.9$ (C), $67.0(\mathrm{C}), 61.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.7(\mathrm{CH}), 37.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 15.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. This material was further characterized by conversion to the picrate salt, which was recrystallized from ethanol ( $\mathrm{mp} 186-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 47.12; H, 4.75; N, 14.65. Found: C, 46.96; H, 4.52; N, 14.66.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{+}$University of Richmond
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ University of Virginia.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Abbreviations: $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{II})=\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right](\mathrm{OTf})_{2} ; \mathrm{OTf}^{-}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-} ; \mathrm{CAN}$ $=$ ceric ammonium nitrate; $\mathrm{DDQ}=2,3$-dichloro-5,6-dicyanoquinone; $\mathrm{DMAc}=N, N$-dimethylacetamide; $\mathrm{DME}=1,2$-dimethoxyethane; $\mathrm{DMF}=$ $N, N$-dimethylformamide; DMSO $=$ dimethyl sulfoxide; $2,5-\mathrm{DMP}=2,5-$ dimethylpyrrole; $\mathrm{DMPU}=N, N^{\prime}$-dimethylpropyleneurea; $\mathrm{HFIPA}=1,1,1,3,3,3-$ hexafluoroisopropyl alcohol; $\mathrm{HMDS}=1,1,1,3,3,3$-hexamethyldisilazane; Hünig's base $=N, N$-diisopropylethylamine; LAH $=$ lithium aluminum hydride; $\mathrm{MVK}=$ methyl vinyl ketone; $\mathrm{NMF}=\mathrm{N}$-methylformamide; TBAH $=$ tetra- $n$-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate; TBSOTf $=$ tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate; TMSOTf $=$ trimethylsilyl triflate.
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